© 1980 by the Bishop Museum

ECTOPARASITES (MALLOPHAGA, ANOPLURA, ACARI) ON MULE DEER, ODOCOILEUS HEMIONUS, AND WHITE-TAILED DEER, ODOCOILEUS VIRGINIANUS, OF ALBERTA, CANADA

By W. M. Samuel, E. R. Grinnell and A. J. Kennedy, 2

Abstract. Two species of chewing lice, Tricholipeurus lipeuroides and Tricholipeurus parallelus, 1 species of sucking louse, Solenopotes ferrisi, and 1 species of tick, Dermacentor albipictus, were found during examination of the skin and hair near the genitalia of 70 Mule Deer (Odocoileus hemionus) and 148 Whitetailed Deer (Odocoileus virginianus) collected in Alberta, Canada, from 1975 to 1977. Tricholipeurus lipeuroides, T. parallelus, and S. ferrisi were found on 40, 5, and 6 Mule Deer and 46, 23, and 8 White-tailed Deer, respectively. For unknown reasons there were significant differences in yearly prevalences of T. libeuroides on both species of deer from 1975 to 1977. There was a temporal separation of lice on both hosts, with T. lipeuroides and S. ferrisi being most prevalent from January and February to May, respectively, and T. parallelus most prevalent from March to June. One Mule Deer was heavily infested with T. lipeuroides and D. albipictus. T. lipeuroides and D. albipictus on White-tailed Deer and S. ferrisi on both species of deer are new host records for Alberta.

Lice of the genera Tricholipeurus (Mallophaga: Trichodectidae) and Solenopotes (Anoplura: Linognathidae) have been studied recently on Whitetailed Deer (Scanlon 1960, Kellogg et al. 1971, Samuel & Trainer 1971, Watson & Anderson 1975) but not on Mule Deer. Two of the papers dealt with seasonal changes in louse numbers on White-tailed Deer in Ontario (Watson & Anderson 1975), and Texas (Samuel & Trainer 1971). No similar data exist for deer of western North America, although lice and ticks are known from deer there (see Walker & Becklund 1970). The purpose of the present study, conducted from 1975 to 1977, was to determine the prevalence and numbers of lice and other ectoparasites on Mule Deer and White-tailed Deer in Alberta over time. We were also interested in whether meaningful data could be collected by examining only a small part of the animal (cf Samuel & Trainer 1971) rather than the entire deer (cf Watson & Anderson 1975).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seventy Mule Deer and 148 White-tailed Deer, killed on roads by vehicles throughout central and

southern Alberta, Canada, from January 1975 to May 1977, were collected by officers of the Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division. Most deer were from the aspen parkland of central Alberta, but a few were from the boreal forest of central Alberta or grasslands of southern Alberta. Animals were usually frozen when transported to Edmonton shortly after death. Most deer (58 Mule, 109 White-tailed) were killed between November and February. Although ages of adult deer were not determined, there were no fawns in the June-to-November samples.

Skin samples of varying sizes (198–1189 cm²: mean 464 cm²) were removed from the medial surface of the hind leg near the genitalia as soon as possible after death. This area was easy to sample, examine and was a preferred site for *T. parallelus* in a previous study (Samuel & Trainer 1971). The entire surface of 1 Mule Deer was partitioned following techniques of Watson & Anderson (1975) and examined for ectoparasites. It had died a few minutes before arrival at the laboratory and few ectoparasites left the deer during the 2 h prior to freezing.

Skin samples were examined using a 2× magnifying lamp or dissecting scope. The hair was examined first and then removed with scissors or electric clippers to facilitate examination of the skin. Lice were identified using descriptions and keys of Ignoffo (1959) and Scanlon (1960) [titles to fig. 143-147 and 148-151 of Scanlon (1960) are incorrect; they should refer to T. parallelus and T. lipeuroides, respectively]. Dr R. Price and Dr E. F. Cook, of the University of Minnesota, confirmed identifications. Ticks were identified following descriptions of Gregson (1956). Representative specimens of all species were placed in the National Museums of Canada-Arthropod Section, Ottawa [no accession numbers provided; specimens deposited were given University of Alberta Parasite (U.A.P.) collection numbers 9864-9877] and in the parasite collection of the Department of Zoology, University of Alberta (U.A.P. nos. 9864-9877).

¹Department of Zoology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada.

²Present address: Canadian Wildlife Service, #1000, 9942—108 Street, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

TABLE 1. Yearly and total prevalences of lice and ticks on deer of Alberta, 1975 to 1977.

		19	1975		1976		1977		Totals	
Species of ectoparasite	n =	MD* 22	WTD* 99	MD 32	WTD 35	MD 16	WTD 14	MD 70	WTD 148	
Tricholipeurus lipeuroides		5 (23)**	22 (22)	22 (69)	18 (51)	13 (81)	6 (43)	40 (57)	46 (31)	
T. parallelus		3 (14)	19 (19)	2 (6)	3 (9)	0	1 (7)	5 (7)	23 (16)	
Solenopotes ferrisi		3 (14)	4 (4)	0	2 (6)	3 (19)	2 (14)	6 (9)	8 (5)	
Dermacentor albipictus		0	0	0	0	5 (31)	0	5 (7)	0	

^{*} MD = Mule Deer; WTD = White-tailed Deer.

RESULTS

Tricholipeurus lipeuroides was the most prevalent ectoparasite encountered on either species of deer (Table 1). It was also the only parasite whose prevalence fluctuated significantly from 1975 to 1977 (Mule Deer: χ^2 , 2 df = 16.19, P < 0.001; Whitetailed Deer: χ^2 , 2 df = 11.30, P < 0.005) and between species of host (χ^2 , 1 df = 13.52, P < 0.001). Dermacentor albipictus was found only on Mule Deer collected in early 1977, but Samuel & Barker (unpubl. data) recovered D. albipictus from 2 of 3 White-tailed Deer collected in central Alberta (Elk Island National Park) in December 1977.

Tricholipeurus lipeuroides was most prevalent (Table 2) and dense (Table 3) on Mule Deer from January to April and most prevalent on White-tailed Deer from February to May. Tricholipeurus parallelus was prevalent on Mule Deer only in April and on White-tailed Deer from March to June. Densities of T. parallelus were never high on Mule Deer and highest on White-tailed Deer in May-June. Solenopotes ferrisi was most prevalent on Mule and White-tailed Deer from February, and March to May, respectively.

One very old adult male Mule Deer was found

in a weakened, emaciated condition on 4 February 1977 in central Alberta. A total of 14,254 *T. lipeuroides* and 7328 *D. albipictus* was recovered at necropsy using techniques of Watson & Anderson (1975).

DISCUSSION

Although only a small piece of skin was examined for ectoparasites during this study, data on prevalence and densities of lice over time were generally similar to those of Watson & Anderson (1975); that is, a temporal separation of chewing and sucking lice, with T. lipeuroides and S. ferrisi being more prevalent and dense in winter-spring and T. parallelus more prevalent and dense in spring-summer. They suggested that this temporal separation in abundance "may have been the result of competition or regulating environmental factors such as solar radiation and/or hair quality and density." Murray (1963a, b, 1968) has shown that these factors are important for chewing lice on sheep and horses of Australia, and Samuel & Trainer (1971) suggested that these factors led to summer-autumn declines of T. parallelus on White-tailed Deer of South Texas.

TABLE 2. Monthly prevalence of lice and ticks on deer of Alberta, 1975 to 1977.

	MULE DEER					WHITE-TAILED DEER					
Month	NI-	Tricholipeurus		Soleno-	Derma- centor		Tricholipeurus		Soleno-	Derma- centor	
	No. exam.	lipeuroides	parallelus	potes ferrisi	albi- pictus	No. exam.	lipeuroides	parallelus	potes ferrisi	albi- pictus	
Jan.	21	16 (76)*	0	l (5)	3 (14)	30	8 (27)	3 (10)	2 (7)	0	
Feb.	9	6 (67)	0	2 (22)	2 (22)	12	7 (59)	0	0	0	
Mar.	1	1 (100)	0	0	0	12	7 (59)	3 (25)	2 (17)	0	
Apr.	6	5 (83)	4 (67)	2 (33)	0	14	8 (57)	5 (36)	3 (21)	0	
May	2	1 (50)	1 (50)	1 (50)	0	5	3 (60)	4 (80)	1 (20)	0	
JunAug.	3	0	0	0	0	6	0	2 (33)	0	0	
SepOct.	0	_			_	2	0	0	0	0	
Nov.	11	3 (27)	0	0	0	26	2 (8)	0	0	0	
Dec.	17	8 (47)	0	0	0	41	11 (27)	6 (15)	0	0	

^{*} No. (%) infested.

^{**} No. (%) deer infested.

Table 3. Mean density* of lice near the genitalia of deer of Alberta, 1975 to 1977.

	М	ULE DE	ER	White-tailed Deer				
	Trichol	ipeurus	Sole-	Trichol	Soleno-			
Month	lipeur- oides	paral- lelus	nopotes ferrisi	lipeur- oides	paral- lelus	potes ferrisi		
JanFeb.	12	0	l	5	<1	<1		
Mar.–Apr.	13	2	l	4	6	1		
May-Jun.	9	3	3	5	11	1		
JulAug.	0	0	0	0	2	0		
SepOct.		_		0	0	0		
NovDec.	1	0	0	2	<1	0		

^{*} Lice/100 cm².

We found concurrent infestations of the 2 chewing lice on Mule Deer (4 of 41 infested deer) and White-tailed Deer (19 of 50), as did Watson & Anderson (1975) on White-tailed Deer (25 of 47), but others have not. Scanlon (1960) found both species of lice on White-tailed Deer of New York, but no concurrent infestations. Samuel & Trainer (1971) found only *T. parallelus* on White-tailed Deer of South Texas. In the present study single infestations of *T. lipeuroides* were most common (35 of 41 Mule Deer; 27 of 50 White-tailed Deer).

Solenopotes ferrisi is most numerous on anterior regions of deer (Watson & Anderson 1975; Samuel, unpubl. data). Thus, prevalence and numbers data presented here are conservative estimates. Nonetheless, seasonal data are consistent with results of Watson & Anderson (1975) and for other species of sucking lice on domestic animals (Crauford-Benson 1941).

The coat of the heavily infested Mule Deer was not rough or unthrifty in appearance, as has been described for heavily infested Black-tailed Deer (Odocoileus hemionus columbianus) by Cowan (1946). One must not be misled by large numbers of ectoparasites when attempting to determine cause of death. For example, Watson & Anderson (1975) found over 14,000 to 70,550 chewing lice on 8 of 47 healthy looking White-tailed Deer.

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by funds from the Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division and the National Research Council of Canada. Particular thanks are due Messrs B. Hall, B. Triechel, L. Dube and many Fish and Wildlife Officers of the Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division for provision of skin samples from deer. B. Davies, Colorado State University, D. R. Anderson, Pennsylvania State University, and T. M. Stock, University of Alberta, made helpful suggestions on an early draft of the manuscript. This paper was written while the senior author was on study leave at the Wild Animal Disease Center, Colorado State University.

LITERATURE CITED

Cowan, I. McT. 1946. Parasites, diseases, injuries, and anomalies of the Columbian black-tailed deer, *Odocoileus hemionus columbianus* (Richardson), in British Columbia. *Can. J. Res. Sect. D*: 24: 71–103.

Crauford-Benson, J. H. 1941. The cattle lice of Great Britain. Part I. Biology, with special reference to *Haematopinus eurysternus*. Part II. Lice populations. *Parasitology* 33: 331–58.

Gregson, J. D. 1956. The Ixodoidea of Canada. Can. Dep. Agric. Sci. Serv. Publ. No. 930. 92 p.

Ignoffo, C. M. 1959. Key and notes to the Anoplura of Minnesota. Am. Midl. Nat. 61: 470-79.

Kellogg, F. E., T. P. Kistner, R. K. Strickland & R. R. Gerrish. 1971. Arthropod parasites collected from white-tailed deer. J. Med. Entomol. 8: 495–98.

Murray, M. D. 1963a. Influence of temperature on the reproduction of *Damalinia equi* (Denny). Aust. J. Zool. 11: 183-89

1963b. The ecology of lice on sheep. V. Influence of heavy rain on populations of *Damalinia ovis* (L.). *Aust. J. Zool.* 11: 173–89

1968. Ecology of lice on sheep. VI. The influence of shearing and solar radiation on populations and transmission of *Damalinia ovis. Aust. J. Zool.* 16: 725–38.

Samuel, W. M. & D. O. Trainer. 1971. Seasonal fluctuations of Tricholipeurus parallelus (Osborn, 1896) (Mallophaga: Trichodectidae) on white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus (Zimmermann, 1780) from south Texas. Am. Midl. Nat. 85: 507–13.

Scanlon, F. E. 1960. The Anoplura and Mallophaga of the mammals of New York. *Wildl. Dis.* No. 5, May. 121 p.

Watson, T. G. & R. C. Anderson. 1975. Seasonal changes in louse populations on white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus). Can. J. Zool. 53: 1047–54.

Walker, M. L. & W. W. Becklund. 1970. Checklist of the internal and external parasites of deer, *Odocoileus hemionus* and *O. virginianus*, in the United States and Canada. *Index-Catalogue of Med. and Vet. Zool.* Spec. Publ. No. 1, Agric. Res. Serv., USDA. 45 p.