

Transmission ratio distortion in the human body louse, *Pediculus humanus* (Insecta: Phthiraptera)

CJ McMeniman and SC Barker

Parasitology Section, School of Molecular and Microbial Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane 4072, Australia

We studied inheritance at three microsatellite loci in eight F₁ and two F₂ families of the body (clothes) louse of humans, *Pediculus humanus*. The alleles of heterozygous female-parents were always inherited in a Mendelian fashion in these families. Alleles from heterozygous male-parents, however, were inherited in two different ways: (i) in a Mendelian fashion and (ii) in a non-Mendelian fashion, where males passed to their offspring only one of their two alleles,

that is, 100% nonrandom transmission. In male body lice, where there was non-Mendelian inheritance, the paternally inherited set of alleles was eliminated. We interpret this pattern of inheritance as evidence for extreme transmission ratio distortion of paternal alleles in this species.

Heredity (2006) **96**, 63–68. doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6800760; published online 21 September 2005

Keywords: lice; segregation distortion; meiotic drive; non-Mendelian inheritance

Introduction

Transmission ratio distortion (TRD) has been defined as statistically significant deviation from the ratio of offspring genotypes expected if inheritance was Mendelian (Pardo-Manuel de Villena *et al*, 2000). In most instances of TRD, one member of a pair of heterozygous alleles or heteromorphic chromosomes is passed from either the male or female parent to offspring in excess of the expected Mendelian proportion of 50%. Mechanistically, the unequal representation of parental alleles in offspring can result from (i) nonrandom segregation of chromosomes or alleles during meiosis (meiotic drive); (ii) postmeiotic selection which results in differential survival or fertilisation success of haploid gametes; or (iii) differential survival of diploid zygotes during development. Several cases of TRD of maternal and paternal alleles have been described in model organisms such as *Drosophila* spp, *Mus* spp, and *Neurospora* spp (see Lyttle, 1991 for a review). Recently, however, observations of novel types of TRD in other organisms have become more common, with the use of molecular markers in linkage analyses and population genetics studies (eg, Fishman *et al*, 2001).

Here, we report the discovery of TRD of paternal alleles in the body louse of humans, *Pediculus humanus* L. 1758, a diploid insect that reproduces exclusively by sex. We determined the inheritance of alleles at three autosomal microsatellite loci in the F₁ and F₂ offspring of crosses between male and female body lice. The alleles of heterozygous female-parents at the three loci always were inherited in a Mendelian fashion. To our surprise, however, alleles of heterozygous male-parents were inherited in two ways in these families: (i) in a Mendelian

fashion, and (ii) in a non-Mendelian fashion where one allele was not passed to the offspring (100% nonrandom transmission). Analysis of F₂ offspring genotypes, in a cross where the parental origin of each of the male's two alleles was known, revealed that the set of alleles that was eliminated was of paternal origin (ie from the male's father). We interpret this pattern of inheritance as evidence for a novel and extreme case of TRD in male body lice. Hypotheses for the underlying mechanism of this unusual mode of inheritance in *P. humanus* are proposed.

Materials and methods

Body lice

Body lice were from the Orlando strain of *P. humanus* at the University of Queensland. This strain was founded with body lice from an unspecified number of people in Washington, DC and Orlando, FL, USA in March 1942 (Culpepper, 1944). The Orlando strain was kept at the United States Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Entomology and Plant Quarantine, Orlando, Florida from 1942 to 1945 by feeding the lice on human volunteers (Culpepper, 1944, 1946). The strain adapted to feeding on the blood of rabbits in 1945 (Culpepper, 1948) and has been fed on rabbit blood ever since. Lice were kept in an incubator at 30±1°C, 50% relative humidity with a photoperiod of 3 h:21 h (light:dark).

Experimental crosses

Pairs of virgin adult males and virgin adult females were put in 30 ml glass bottles with a 3 cm² of black cotton cloth, on which eggs were laid. Each pair of lice was fed on rabbit blood once each day. After 12–14 days of egg laying the male and female were removed and stored at 4°C in 100% ethanol. Eggs hatched in 7–9 days. The hatch rate of eggs from each cross was recorded. Nymphs moulted to adults in 12 days. These adults were then sexed and stored at 4°C in 100% ethanol.

Correspondence: C McMeniman. Current address: School of Integrative Biology, University of Queensland, St Lucia, Brisbane Qld 4072, Australia. E-mail: c.mcmcniman@uq.edu.au

Received 4 June 2005; accepted 15 August 2005; published online 21 September 2005

Families of lice

Eight F_1 families (families 1–8) were bred. To determine the parental origin of the allele that was not passed from heterozygous male-parents to their offspring, heterozygous males from family 7, where the origin of each allele was known, were crossed with females from family 8 to produce two F_2 families (families 9 and 10). Lice were collected from the above F_1 families as third-stage nymphs to ensure virginity and then kept in separate bottles.

Microsatellites

Total genomic DNA was extracted from individual lice with a DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany). Three microsatellite loci were amplified by PCR from each louse: *ML8*, *ML9* and *ML10* (Leo *et al.*, 2005). Primer sequences and annealing temperatures (T_a) for each locus are as follows: *ML8* (for: AAA CGT TCG AAT GGG ACT TGA AC, rev: CCC ACA CAT AGC CGC CAT T, $T_a = 51^\circ\text{C}$); *ML9* (for: TCC ATC ATC CAT CGT TTC GA, rev: CCG ATT CTC CTT ATT CAT TCG C, $T_a = 51^\circ\text{C}$); *ML10* (for: GCC AAG GTC TAA TTA TCC, rev: GAA CGA GAA GAT GCG TAA ACG, $T_a = 42^\circ\text{C}$). The reverse primer at each locus was labelled with HEX dye (Prologo, Germany) to allow fluorescent detection of PCR products. DNA was amplified in 12.5 μl reactions containing 1.25 μl of $10 \times$ *Taq* polymerase buffer (AB Gene, Epsom, UK), 2.5 mM of MgCl_2 , 0.22 mM of dNTPs, 0.12 μM of each primer, 0.25 U of red hot *Taq* DNA polymerase (AB Gene) and 50–100 ng of DNA template. PCR conditions were: initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 93°C for 30 s, primer annealing for 30 s and primer extension at 72°C for 30 s, followed by a final primer extension step at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were electrophoresed on 5% denaturing polyacrylamide gels in $0.6 \times$ TBE buffer, in an automated DNA fragment analyser (Corbett Research Gel Scan V7.2.6, Real-Time Gel System) at 1200 V and 40°C for 90 min. The sizes of PCR products were estimated with a commercial size standard (ABI PRISM, Tamra 350). Gels were visualized and alleles scored with ONED-scan V2.03 software (Scanalytics, VA, USA).

Statistical analysis

χ^2 tests were used to discover whether or not the observed genotype ratios were statistically different to the expected genotype ratios.

Results

Inheritance of alleles in F_1 offspring

In all, 14 of the 24 ratios of genotypes in the F_1 offspring did not differ significantly from the ratios expected if inheritance was Mendelian (Table 1). Nine of these 14 ratios of genotypes were from parents that were homozygous at that locus: this resulted in offspring with identical homozygous genotypes, or with the heterozygous genotype expected when both parents are homozygous for different alleles (genotype ratio ID #s 1, 4, 6, 10, 12, 19, 20, 22, 23 of Table 1). The other five ratios of genotypes were from crosses where the female-parent was heterozygous and the male parent was homozygous at that locus (genotype ratio ID #s 2, 3, 13, 16, 24 of Table 1).

The remaining 10 ratios of genotypes differed significantly from the ratios expected when inheritance was Mendelian (genotype ratio ID #s 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 21 of Table 1); this was the case at all three loci. In all 10 of the ratios of genotypes where inheritance was non-Mendelian, the male-parent was heterozygous and only passed one of his two alleles to his offspring. For example, in family 3, at locus *ML9*, the male-parent (165/168) and female-parent (169/171) had offspring with only two (168/169, 168/171) of the four genotypes expected if inheritance was Mendelian ($P = 2.5 \times 10^{-05}$) (genotype ratio ID # 8, Table 1) that is, the genotypes 165/171 and 165/169 were not present in the offspring of these lice.

Inheritance of alleles in F_2 offspring

Both F_2 families had heterozygous male-parents from family 7 and heterozygous or homozygous female-parents from family 8. Inheritance was Mendelian at the two informative loci (*ML9* and *ML10*) of family 9: (i) at locus *ML9*, the male-parent (165/168) and female-parent (165/165) had offspring with two genotypes (165/165 and 165/168), and the ratios of genotypes were as expected if inheritance was Mendelian ($P = 1.000$) (genotype ratio ID # 26, Table 2; Supplementary Figure 1); (ii) at locus *ML10*, the male-parent (161/170) and female-parent (170/170) had offspring with two genotypes (161/170 and 170/170), and the ratios of genotypes in the offspring were as expected if inheritance was Mendelian ($P = 1.000$) (genotype ratio ID # 27, Table 2). However, inheritance was non-Mendelian at the two informative loci (*ML9* and *ML10*) of family 10: (i) at locus *ML9*, the male-parent (165/168) and female-parent (165/165) had offspring with only one genotype (165/168) (genotype ratio ID # 29, Table 2; Supplementary Figure 2). The ratios of genotypes in these offspring differed significantly from the ratios of genotypes expected if inheritance was Mendelian ($P = 2.21 \times 10^{-05}$). Indeed, the genotype 165/165 was not present in any of the offspring; (ii) at locus *ML10*, the male-parent (161/170) and female-parent (161/170) had offspring with only two (161/170 and 170/170) of the three genotypes expected if inheritance was Mendelian ($P = 4.21 \times 10^{-02}$) (genotype ratio ID # 30, Table 2) that is, genotype 161/161 was not found in any of the 18 offspring.

Discussion

Our results are preliminary evidence for TRD of paternal alleles in the body louse, *P. humanus*. In all genotype ratios, both alleles from heterozygous female-parents were always passed to offspring. This indicates random segregation always occurred in female-parents. In contrast, alleles from heterozygous males were inherited in two ways: (i) in a Mendelian fashion, and (ii) in a non-Mendelian fashion. In the case of the latter, only one of the male's two alleles was passed to his offspring.

Non-Mendelian inheritance of microsatellite alleles is often attributed to one of the two artefacts of PCR commonly associated with these genetic markers: null alleles and allelic dropout. Null alleles are alleles that are not amplified by PCR because nucleotide substitutions, insertions or deletions have occurred in one or both of the primer-annealing sites (Callen *et al.*, 1993). If null

Table 1 Genotypes of parents and their offspring at three microsatellite loci in eight F₁ families of *Pediculus humanus*

Family ID #	Locus	Parental genotype		F ₁ offspring genotype			P	Genotype ratio ID #
		Male	Female	F ₁	Observed number	Expected number		
1 (n = 26)	ML8	149/149	149/149	149/149	26	26	1.000	1
	ML9	165/165	167/169	165/169	12	13	0.695	2
				165/167	14	13		
ML10	170/170	170/175	170/170	12	13	0.695	3	
			170/175	14	13			
2 (n = 32)	ML8	149/149	149/149	149/149	32	32	1.000	4
	ML9	165/169	167/167	165/167	0	16	1.54 × 10⁻⁰⁸	5
				167/169	32	16		
ML10	170/170	175/175	170/175	32	32	1.000	6	
3 (n = 24)	ML8	131/149	149/149	131/149	0	12	9.63 × 10⁻⁰⁷	7
				149/149	24	12		
	ML9	165/168	169/171	165/169	0	6	2.5 × 10⁻⁰⁵	8
				168/169	12	6		
				165/171	0	6		
				168/171	12	6		
	ML10	170/175	161/170	161/170	12	6	2.5 × 10⁻⁰⁵	9
170/170				12	6			
161/175				0	6			
170/175	0	6						
4 (n = 34)	ML8	149/149	149/149	149/149	34	34	1.000	10
				165/165	0	8.5	1.3 × 10⁻⁰³	11
	ML9	165/169	165/169	165/169	26	17		
				169/169	8	8.5		
				170/170	34	34	1.000	12
5 (n = 30)	ML8	149/149	131/149	131/149	19	15	0.144	13
				149/149	11	15		
	ML9	165/169	165/165	165/165	30	15	4.32 × 10⁻⁰⁸	14
				165/169	0	15		
ML10	170/175	170/170	170/170	30	15	4.32 × 10⁻⁰⁸	15	
170/175	0	15						
6 (n = 15)	ML8	149/149	131/149	131/149	8	7.5	0.796	16
				149/149	7	7.5		
	ML9	165/169	165/167	165/165	0	3.75	1.71 × 10⁻⁰³	17
				165/167	0	3.75		
				165/169	8	3.75		
				167/169	7	3.75		
	ML10	170/175	161/170	161/170	0	3.75	3.75 × 10⁻⁰⁴	18
161/175				5	3.75			
170/170				0	3.75			
170/175	10	3.75						
7 (n = 25)	ML8	149/149	149/149	149/149	25	25	1.000	19
				165/168	25	25	1.000	20
	ML9	165/165	168/168	165/168	9	6.25	2.33 × 10⁻⁰⁶	21
				161/161	16	6.25		
				161/170	16	6.25		
ML10	161/175	161/170	161/175	0	6.25			
			170/175	0	6.25			
8 (n = 10)	ML8	149/149	149/149	149/149	10	10	1.000	22
				165/165	10	10	1.000	23
	ML9	165/165	165/165	165/165	7	5	0.206	24
				161/170	3	5		
170/170	3	5						

n, number of offspring in each family.

P, χ^2 test probability associated with deviations between observed and expected numbers of genotypes.

Significant deviations from Mendelian expectations ($P < 0.05$) are in bold font.

alleles are present, but not accounted for, then a heterozygote with a null allele will appear to be homozygous. Null alleles are usually assigned at a microsatellite locus when the presence of a null allele in one or both parents can explain a non-Mendelian

genotypic ratio observed in their offspring (Liewlaksaneeyanawin *et al*, 2002; de Meeus *et al*, 2004). Using this criterion, no null alleles were detected in any of the eight F₁ and two F₂ families of *P. humanus*. Mutations of primer-annealing sites between parental and offspring

Table 2 Genotypes of parents and their offspring at three microsatellite loci in two F₂ families of *Pediculus humanus*

Family ID #	Locus	Parental genotype		F ₂ offspring genotype			P	Genotype ratio ID #
		Male	Female	F ₂	Observed number	Expected number		
9 (n = 30)	ML8	149/149	149/149	149/149	30	30	1.000	25
	ML9	165/168	165/165	165/165	15	15	1.000	26
				165/168	15	15		
ML10	161/170	170/170	161/170	15	15	1.000	27	
			170/170	15	15			
10 (n = 18)	ML8	149/149	149/149	149/149	18	18	1.000	28
	ML9	165/168	165/165	165/165	0	9	2.21 × 10⁻⁰⁵	29
				165/168	18	9		
	ML10	161/170	161/170	161/161	0	4.5	4.21 × 10⁻⁰²	30
				161/170	11	9		
170/170				7	4.5			

n, number of offspring in each family.

P, χ^2 test probability associated with deviations between observed and expected numbers of genotypes.

Significant deviations from Mendelian expectations ($P < 0.05$) are in bold font.

generations, however, could lead to null alleles in their offspring, and the apparent loss of alleles transmitted from parents to offspring. However, this cannot account for the non-Mendelian inheritance that we found at loci in families where offspring were all heterozygous (genotype ratio ID #s 5, 8, 17, 18 of Table 1 and genotype ratio ID # 29 of Table 2). The presence of null alleles at the three microsatellite loci we studied in *P. humanus* is, therefore, unlikely.

Allelic dropout is the preferential amplification of only one of two alleles at a locus. Allelic dropout leads to scoring individuals as homozygotes when they are heterozygotes (Miller *et al.*, 2002). Allelic dropout is most likely when DNA of low quality, for example DNA from museum specimens and noninvasive sources, is used in PCR (Gagneux *et al.*, 1997). Like null alleles, however, allelic dropout cannot account for the non-Mendelian inheritance observed in families of *P. humanus* where TRD of paternal alleles occurred and all offspring had heterozygous genotypes (genotype ratio ID #s 5, 8, 17, 18 of Table 1 and genotype ratio ID # 29 of Table 2). In addition, repeated amplification of microsatellite loci from individual lice at a range of template DNA concentrations gave consistent results.

Given the lack of evidence for null alleles and allelic dropout, the pattern of inheritance we discovered is evidence of extreme TRD of paternal alleles. Unlike most reports of TRD, a single allele was inherited exclusively in *P. humanus* when fathers were heterozygous. Elimination of complete sets of chromosomes from males has been observed in several insect species. For example, some males of the parasitic wasps *Nasonia vitripennis* and *Trichogramma kaykai* have supernumerary B (PSR – paternal sex ratio) chromosomes that cause the loss of all the paternal chromosomes except themselves in sperm transmitted from haploid males (Werren and Stouthamer, 2003). In another example, half of the accessory chromosomes are eliminated from the germ line during sex determination in the sciarid fly, *Sciara cophrilia* (Rieffel and Crouse, 1966). The pattern of inheritance we discovered in *P. humanus*, however, differs from these examples in that: (i) there were no statistically significant alterations in the sex ratios of

families where TRD occurred (data not shown); and (ii) male *P. humanus* are diploid as evidenced by the transmission of two alleles.

The pattern of inheritance in *P. humanus* families where TRD of paternal alleles occurred is similar to that described for a number of insect and mite species with paternal genome elimination (PGE) (Perrot-Minnot *et al.*, 2000; Normark, 2003). In females of species with PGE, oogenesis is normal, with random segregation and assortment of chromosomes. Males, however, transmit to their offspring only their maternal set of chromosomes, because their paternal set are eliminated at some stage during development. In most cases, the paternal chromosomes are eliminated by meiotic drive following nonindependent assortment of maternal and paternal chromosomes during spermatogenesis (Bongiorni *et al.*, 2004). In family 10 of our study, the F₁ male did not pass to his F₂ offspring alleles of grand-paternal origin at the two informative loci: *ML9* (165 bp allele) and *ML10* (161 bp allele) that is, the alleles the male received from his P₁ father (see genotype ratio ID #s 20, 21 of Table 1 and genotype ratio ID #s 29, 30 of Table 2). This suggests a way of distinguishing paternally derived from maternally derived chromosomes in *P. humanus*, and a way of eliminating paternally derived chromosomes prior to fertilisation of the F₂. Changes in chromatin structure (ie heterochromatisation) and imprinting, as in lecanoid scale insects (Bongiorni and Prantero, 2003), are possible mechanisms for this process in *P. humanus*.

The pattern of inheritance we discovered in *P. humanus* is unlike other types of PGE because we did observe one heterozygous male in which alleles segregated in a Mendelian fashion (F₁ male – Family 9, Table 2). Inheritance in *P. humanus* males is therefore similar to TRD such as segregation distorter in *D. melanogaster* (Lyttle, 1993), and the *t*-locus in *Mus domesticus* and *M. musculus* (Ardlie and Silver, 1996) in that one allele is transmitted predominantly (95–99%) to offspring in some males. In this study, the only *P. humanus* heterozygous male in which alleles segregated in a Mendelian fashion (F₁ male of family 9) was from a family in which TRD of paternal alleles occurred (family 7). In contrast, in the other heterozygous male from this family (F₁ male

of family 10), paternal alleles were eliminated. This suggests that the female-parent of these two males may have been heterozygous for a genetic element that eliminates alleles in male *P. humanus*. Intriguingly, maternally inherited genetic elements causing elimination of paternal chromosomes in males have been hypothesised as a mechanism that could lead to the evolution of PGE (Brown, 1964). Another hypothesis that could explain the elimination of alleles in some male *P. humanus* but not others, is the presence of a B chromosome that eliminates paternally derived chromosomes; but with imperfect transmission efficiency so that some males avoid the B chromosome but others do not. Males with B chromosomes that were transmitted with their maternal chromosomes have been observed in the mealybug *Pseudococcus affinis*, an insect which has PGE (Nur and Brett, 1988). Although B chromosomes have not been observed in *P. humanus*, they have been observed in other lice including the pig louse, *Haematopinus suis* (Tombesi and Papeschi, 1993). Further genetic characterisation of body lice by additional crosses should help to discern whether or not such a genetic element is segregating in the Orlando strain of *P. humanus*.

Significantly, spermatogenesis in *P. humanus* is very unusual and provides a setting for elimination of chromosomes or alleles (Hindle and Pontecorvo, 1942; White, 1973). In *P. humanus* the somatic (2n) number is 12 in both sexes. In *P. humanus* males, the four primary spermatids from meiosis of one primary spermatocyte, divide mitotically four times to give a cyst of 64 secondary spermatids. The secondary spermatids then go through an unusual fifth division that is unequal with respect to the cytoplasm (Doncaster and Cannon, 1919). In each secondary spermatid a small-nucleated 'bud' containing six chromosomes is cutoff from the main cell. Only the main cell forms sperm, the bud (pyncotic nuclei) degenerates (Hindle and Pontecorvo, 1942). This results in a cyst of 64 tertiary spermatids that develop into active sperm, and 64 pyncotic nuclei that degenerate. It is possible that this fifth division may be nonrandom in *P. humanus*, resulting in specific chromosomes of paternal or allelic-specific origin being eliminated. Only certain chromosomes would, therefore, be present in viable sperm. We hypothesise that the genetic mechanism that acts to eliminate or retain chromosomes in *P. humanus* occurs during this stage of spermatogenesis.

TRD in *P. humanus* is unlikely to be due to differential survival of diploid zygotes with alternative alleles, because 90% of all the eggs laid in each family hatched (data not shown). Therefore, TRD of paternal alleles in *P. humanus* most probably occurs by meiotic drive of chromosomes during spermatogenesis, or postmeiotic selection prior to fertilisation. Since the three microsatellite loci (*ML8*, *ML9* and *ML10*) we used have not been mapped to chromosomes of *P. humanus*, it is not possible to tell if this system of TRD involves a single chromosome or an entire parental set of chromosomes. Future studies should therefore aim to map these loci and other genetic markers (eg SNPs and RAPDs), to create a linkage map for *P. humanus*. This method has been used successfully to characterise novel meiotic drive loci in other species (Fishman and Willis, 2005). Coupled with cytogenetics, this approach may shed light on the mechanism of TRD in this insect.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Natalie Leo, Ms Maryam Ashrafi, Ms Jing Ma and Professor Jane Hughes for enthusiastic technical assistance and expert advice.

References

- Ardlie K, Silver LM (1996). Recent evolution of mouse *t* haplotypes at polymorphic microsatellites associated with the *t* complex responder (*Tcr*) locus. *Genet Res* **67**: 1–10.
- Bongiorni S, Prantera G (2003). Imprinted facultative heterochromatization in mealybugs. *Genetica* **117**: 271–279.
- Bongiorni S, Fiorenzo P, Pippoletti D, Prantera G (2004). Inverted meiosis and meiotic drive in mealybugs. *Chromosoma* **112**: 331–341.
- Brown SW (1964). Automatic frequency response in the evolution of male haploidy and other coccid chromosome systems. *Genetics* **49**: 797–817.
- Callen DF, Thompson AD, Shen Y, Phillips HA, Richards RI, Mulley JC *et al* (1993). Incidence and origin of 'null' alleles in the (AC)*n* microsatellite markers. *Am J Hum Genet* **52**: 922–927.
- Culpepper GH (1944). The rearing and maintenance of a laboratory colony of the body louse. *Am J Trop Med* **24**: 327–329.
- Culpepper GH (1946). Factors influencing the rearing and maintenance of a laboratory colony of the body louse. *J Econ Entomol* **39**: 472–474.
- Culpepper GH (1948). Rearing and maintaining a laboratory colony of body lice on rabbits. *Am J Trop Med* **28**: 499–504.
- de Meeus T, Humair PF, Grunau C, Delaye C, Renaud F (2004). Non-Mendelian transmission of alleles at microsatellite loci: an example in *Ixodes ricinus*, the vector of Lyme disease. *Int J Parasitol* **34**: 943–950.
- Doncaster L, Cannon HG (1919). On the spermatogenesis of the louse (*Pediculus corporis* and *P. capitis*), with some observations on the maturation of the egg. *Quart J Micr Sci* **64**: 303–328.
- Fishman L, Kelly AJ, Morgan E, Willis JH (2001). A genetic map in the *Mimulus guttatus* species complex reveals transmission ratio distortion due to heterospecific interactions. *Genetics* **159**: 1701–1716.
- Fishman L, Willis JH (2005). A novel meiotic drive locus almost completely distorts segregation in *Mimulus* (monkeyflower) hybrids. *Genetics* **169**: 347–353.
- Gagneux P, Boesch C, Woodruff DS (1997). Microsatellite scoring errors associated with noninvasive genotyping based on nuclear DNA amplified from shed hair. *Mol Ecol* **6**: 861–868.
- Hindle E, Pontecorvo G (1942). Mitotic divisions following meiosis in *Pediculus corporis* males. *Nature* **149**: 668.
- Leo NP, Hughes JM, Yang X, Poudel SKS, Brogden WG, Barker SC (2005). The head and body lice of humans are genetically distinct (Insecta: Phthiraptera, Pediculidae): evidence from double infestations. *Heredity* **95**: 34–40.
- Liewlaksaneeyanawin C, Ritland CE, El-Kassaby YA (2002). Inheritance of null alleles for microsatellites in the white pine weevil (*Pissodes strobi* [Peck] [Coleoptera: Curculionidae]). *J Hered* **93**: 67–70.
- Lytte TW (1991). Segregation distorters. *Annu Rev Genet* **25**: 511–557.
- Lytte TW (1993). Cheaters sometimes prosper: distortion of Mendelian segregation by meiotic drive. *Trends Genet* **9**: 205–210.
- Miller CR, Joyce P, Waits LP (2002). Assessing allelic dropout and genotype reliability using maximum likelihood. *Genetics* **160**: 357–366.
- Normark BB (2003). The evolution of alternative genetic systems in insects. *Annu Rev Entomol* **48**: 397–423.
- Nur U, Brett BL (1988). Genotypes affecting the condensation and transmission of heterochromatic B chromosomes in the mealybug *Pseudococcus affinis*. *Chromosoma* **96**: 205–212.
- Pardo-Manuel de Villena F, de la Casa-Esperón E, Briscoe TL, Sapienza C (2000). A genetic test to determine the origin of the maternal 1: meiotic drive at the mouse *Om* locus. *Genetics* **154**: 333–342.

- Perrot-Minnot MJ, Lagnel J, Migeon A, Navajas M (2000). Tracking paternal genes with DALP markers in a pseudo-arrhenotokous reproductive system: biparental transmission but haplodiploid-like inheritance in the mite *Neoseiulus californicus*. *Heredity* **84**: 702–709.
- Rieffel SM, Crouse HV (1966). The elimination and differentiation of chromosomes in the germ line of *Sciaria*. *Chromosoma* **19**: 231–276.
- Tombesi ML, Papeschi AG (1993). Meiosis in *Haematopinus suis* and *Menacanthus stramineus* (Phthiraptera, Insecta). *Hereditas* **119**: 31–38.
- Werren JH, Stouthamer R (2003). PSR (paternal sex ratio) chromosomes: the ultimate selfish genetic elements. *Genetica* **117**: 85–101.
- White MJD (1973). *Animal Cytology and Evolution*. 3rd edn. Cambridge University Press: UK.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on the Heredity website (<http://www.nature.com/hdy>)