See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337635416

# Chewing lice richness and occurrence in non-breeding shorebirds in Paracas, Perú

Article *in* Wader Study Group Bulletin · November 2019 DOI: 10.18194/ws.00159

CITATION: 0	S	READS 151	
5 autho	rs, including:		
	Eveling Tavera Fernández Simon Fraser University 13 PUBLICATIONS 8 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	David Minaya Angoma Federico Villarreal National University 21 PUBLICATIONS 11 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	
	Jose lannacone Federico Villarreal National University 526 PUBLICATIONS 1,482 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	David B Lank Simon Fraser University 188 PUBLICATIONS 4,657 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Clinical epidemiologic behavior of the Parvovirosis and the Distemper Canine View project

Neotropical Biodiversity View project

## Chewing lice richness and occurrence in non-breeding shorebirds in Paracas, Perú

Eveling A. Tavera<sup>1,4\*</sup>, David Minaya<sup>2,3</sup>, Enver Ortiz Lopez<sup>4</sup>, José lannacone<sup>2,3,5</sup> & David B. Lank<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Centre for Wildlife Ecology, Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A1S6, Canada
<sup>2</sup>Laboratorio de Ecología y Biodiversidad Animal, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Matemática, Universidad Nacional Federico Villarreal, Lima, Perú
<sup>3</sup>Museo de Historia Natural, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Matemática, Universidad Nacional Federico Villarreal, Lima, Perú
<sup>4</sup>Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad (CORBIDI), Santa Rita 105, Of. 202, Huertos de San Antonio, Surco, Lima 33, Perú
<sup>5</sup>Laboratorio de Ingeniería Ambiental, Facultad de Ciencias Ambientales, Universidad Científica del Sur, Villa el Salvador, Lima, Perú
\*Corresponding author: etaveraf@sfu.ca

Tavera, E.A., D. Minaya, E. Ortiz Lopez, J. Iannacone & D.B. Lank. 2019. Chewing lice richness and occurrence in non-breeding shorebirds in Paracas, Perú. *Wader Study* 126(3): 190–199.

Chewing lice often appear benign; however, they can also negatively impact their hosts. We know little about seasonal and spatial variation in the exposure, acquisition, or loss of these putative ectoparasites by shorebirds. Here we provide the first description of chewing lice richness and occurrence from seven shorebird species captured in the Paracas National Reserve, Perú. We summarize seasonal patterns of abundance, and test for relationships between parasite numbers and shorebird body condition. We collected 160 ectoparasite specimens of the families Menoponidae and Philopteridae from 108 shorebirds and classified them into four genera and at least nine species. The Philopteridae family showed higher prevalence (62%), richness, and number of host species (seven parasitic species being hosted by seven shorebird species) than the Menoponidae (prevalence = 49%; two parasitic species in five host species). Among species, Actornithophilus umbrinus, found on all four Calidris species, had the highest number of hosts, while A. pediculoides and all the Philopteridae species were only found on a single host. Lunaceps actophilus had the highest prevalence, mean intensity, and mean abundance of infection, being found on 64% of the Sanderlings Calidris alba. Sanderlings hosted the highest abundance of lice, whilst Semipalmated Sandpipers C. pusilla had the highest richness. In Sanderlings, we found an apparent, but not statistically significant, decrease in parasite loads through the nonbreeding season. Sanderling body condition varied with capture period, but we found no significant relationship between body condition and total parasites within each time period or in pooled analysis by date. Paracas appears to be a site at which shorebirds maintain or possibly slightly shed the loads of chewing lice rather than acquire them; these low parasite loads do not appear to negatively affect body condition.

#### Keywords

waders ectoparasites body condition Calidridae South America

#### INTRODUCTION

Avian parasite loads can shape the physiology, behavior, ecology, life history and migration strategies of their hosts (Ashford 1971, Piersma 1997, Hicks *et al.* 2018). The negative impacts of parasites, including infection-

induced mortality, host manipulation, and cost of dispersal, demonstrate how strong their consequences for host fitness can be (Bonte *et al.* 2012, McElroy & de Buron 2014, Binning *et al.* 2017). Regarding the ecological factors affecting the rate of mortality among infected



Fig. 1. Location of the fieldwork on 'La Aguada' beach in Paracas National Reserve, Ica, Perú.

birds, Møller *et al.* (2009) suggested that parasite-induced nestling mortality is mainly determined by geographical location, as virulence increases towards the tropics. To a lesser extent, it is also determined by nest characteristics, given that open nesters suffer from greater parasite-induced mortality than hole nesters, and by prevalence, as greater prevalence is associated with reduced virulence. However, the ecological relationship between ectosymbionts and birds may not only be parasitic. Galván *et al.* (2012) proposed a commensalistic (*i.e.* symbiosis in which one member benefits from the relationship, but the other neither gains nor loses; Proctor & Owens 2000) relationship between feather mites and birds after they had observed that feather mite abundance explained little about the variance in birds' body condition.

Bird chewing lice Phthiraptera are obligate ectoparasites that live permanently on the body of a host, feeding mainly on feathers, blood, dead skin or secretions (Clayton & Walther 2001, Price *et al.* 2003, Clayton *et al.* 2008). Birds are parasitized by two of the four suborders of chewing lice that make up the Phthiraptera group: Amblycera and Ischnocera (Gomes *et al.* 2014). The physiological, ecological, and evolutionary relationships between chewing lice and their hosts are diverse and may vary among species and environments. Some studies have found adverse effects on hosts, including increasing the occurrence of infections by acting as vectors for microorganisms (Philips 1990), increasing rates of dispersal from natal colonies (McCoy *et al.* 2005) and directly decreasing survival of the hosts (Booth *et al.* 1993, Clayton *et al.* 1999). Intense parasitic infections can produce direct pathological effects such as hyperkeratosis and feather damage, or have indirect effects, via e.g. sexual selection against parasitized birds (Liébana *et al.* 2011, Moreno-Rueda & Hoi 2012). Whiteman & Parker (2004) found louse load to be negatively correlated with body condition and to predict variation in the host's territorial behavior.

Our study addressed the richness and occurrence of chewing lice sampled from shorebirds spending the nonbreeding season in Paracas, Perú. The Peruvian coastline hosts over half a million shorebirds, including ca. 136,000 Sanderlings Calidris alba, 38% of the hemispheric population (Senner & Angulo Pratolongo 2014). Research on shorebird ectoparasites in the Western Hemisphere has been conducted at temperate (Hunter & Colwell 1994, Palma 1999, Palma & Jensen 2005) and a few tropical areas (D'Amico et al. 2008, Haase & Alava 2014). Studies of chewing lice from birds in Perú are few and primarily descriptive (Gomez-Puerta & Cribillero 2015, Gomez-Puerta & Luján-Vega 2018). Here, we provide the first description of chewing lice species richness and occurrence on seven species of host shorebirds, look for seasonal patterns of abundance, and test for relationships between parasite numbers and individual shorebird body condition.



Fig. 2. Chewing lice collected from shorebirds captured at the Paracas National Reserve, Perú, in September 2017, January 2018 and March 2018 of the non-breeding season. (a) Actornithophilus pediculoides, male from Arenaria interpres. (b) A. *umbrinus*, female from *Calidris alba*. (c) A. *umbrinus*, male from *Calidris mauri*. (d) A. *umbrinus*, male from *Calidris pusilla*. (e) *Lunaceps actophilus*, male from *Calidris alba*. (f) *L. incoenis*, male from *Calidris mauri*. (g) *L. rothkoi*, female from *Calidris pusilla*. (h) *L. superciliosus*, male from *Calidris pusilla*. (i) *Quadraceps fissus*, male from *Charadrius semipalmatus*. (j) *Q*. (aff) *strepsilaris*, male from *Arenaria interpres*. (k) *Quadraceps* sp., female from *Charadrius nivosus*. (l) *Saemundssonia* (Saemundssonia) *tringae*, female from *Calidris pusilla*. Scale bar = 0.4 mm. Specimens were deposited in the Natural History Museum of the Universidad Nacional Federico Villareal in Lima, Perú.

Conceptually, body condition is used to describe the degree to which an organism's physiological state influences its performance (Brown 1996). In practice, body mass relative to structural size can provide an index of avian energetic 'body condition' and is widely used to do so (e.g. Dinsmore & Collazo 2003, Whiteman & Parker 2004). This measure of body condition has been related to migratory performance and behavioral decisions throughout the annual cycle of long-distance migratory shorebirds (Lehnen & Krementz 2007, Choi et al. 2009, Tulp et al. 2009, Duijns et al. 2017, Alfaro et al. 2018). In this study, we test for a relationship between parasite load and body condition during the non-breeding season. We predict that if ectoparasites impose a cost, or if birds in better condition can more readily suppress parasites, the number of parasites on each bird should be negatively correlated with body condition. Alternatively, if parasites prefer to live on hosts in better body condition, without imposing detectable costs, we should find a positive relationship.

At an evolutionary level, it has been suggested that the broad spatial distributions of parasites influence habitat selection and spatial behavior, including the evolution of long-distance migration patterns in shorebirds (Piersma 1997). Some long-distance migrant shorebirds appear to have lower resistance to parasites and pathogens, and thus are potentially more vulnerable when in higher parasite load zones found particularly in the freshwater tropics. Piersma (1997) suggested that some species might tradeoff longer-distance migration to sites with lower prey availability in exchange for the benefit of lower parasite pressure in more sterile environments. For example, D'Amico et al. (2008) found substantially higher ectoparasite infestation in Nearctic-breeding Red Knots Calidris canutus at a tropical stopover and non-breeding site in northern Brazil than at a non-breeding site in

Tierra del Fuego, Argentina, where 96% of birds were completely free of ectoparasites. If Perú is a less parasiteprone environment than that experienced on the breeding grounds and/or migration, we predict that the prevalence or intensity of ectoparasitism should decrease over the course of the non-breeding season.

#### **METHODS**

#### Study area

The Paracas National Reserve is a Natural Protected Area located in the Department of Ica, Perú. It is numerically the second most important site for shorebirds in the country, hosting more than 56,000 amongst its different habitats (Senner & Angulo Pratolongo 2014). Fieldwork was conducted at La Aguada beach (13°51'35.47"S, 76°16'16.16"W), an intertidal mudflat <2 km long surrounded by coastal desert (Fig. 1). The near-shore section of the mudflat lacks vegetation. Most of the lower intertidal zone consists of soft mud inhabited by polychaetes, fly larvae, microscopic sea shrimp, and beetles (Pellissier 2013), and covered by decaying algae and biofilm.

#### **Field methods**

Shorebirds were captured in the non-breeding season, using 12 mist-nets set in the intertidal zone at night, during three nine-day campaigns conducted in September 2017, January 2018 and March 2018. Most of the Semipalmated Sandpipers *Calidris pusilla*, however, were captured during banding campaigns in the non-breeding seasons of 2012 and 2013. Birds were identified based on external characteristics (Schulenberg *et al.* 2010) and banded with an incoloy metal band (CORBIDI Bird-Banding Program, the Peruvian bird-banding scheme). They were weighed on a digital scale (0.5 g), a straightened

**Table 1.** Analysis of the parasitic ecological indices for host shorebird species. n = number of birds evaluated, nP = number of birds with at least one ectoparasite, A = total number of parasites collected, P% = prevalence (percentage of parasited hosts), MI = mean intensity of parasitized hosts (total number of parasites divided by the number of infected hosts), MA = mean abundance of parasitized hosts (total number of parasites divided by the number of birds sampled). P% was not calculated for Red Knot and the Snowy Plover, because only one individual of each species was sampled. P and MA were not calculated for Semipalmated Sandpipers because the number without parasites in 2012 and 2013 was unknown.

Host species	n	nP	Α	P%	МІ	МА
Ruddy Turnstone Arenaria interpres	5	4	5	80	1.25	1
Sanderling Calidris alba	45	36	120	80	3.33	2.66
Red Knot Calidris canutus	1	1	3	-	3	3
Western Sandpiper Calidris mauri	14	5	5	36	1	0.36
Semipalmated Sandpiper Calidris pusilla	-	18	20	-	1.11	-
Snowy Plover Charadrius nivosus	1	1	3	-	3	3
Semipalmated Plover Charadrius semipalmatus	4	1	4	25	4	1

and flattened wing measurement was taken using a wing ruler (0.5 mm), and culmen and total head length were measured with dial calipers (0.5 mm). Finally, to minimize variation in sampling effort and technique, D. Minaya examined body and flight feathers from all birds for ectoparasitic lice for 2–3 min. All lice found were collected using entomological forceps and stored in 70% pure ethanol (Lamothe 1997).

#### Laboratory methods

In the lab, each louse specimen was clarified with 20% KOH for 12 hr and left for 24 hr in distilled water to remove excess clarifier. Specimens were dehydrated by placing them in ascending solutions of ethanol (50%, 70%, 90% and 100%) for 5–10 min in each solution, then diaphanized in Eugenol for 1 hr. Finally, each specimen was mounted on Canada Balm, dried in an incubator at 50–60°C for two weeks, and identified under a light microscope based on morphology (Palma 1978, Lamothe 1997, Price *et al.* 2003, González-Acuña *et al.* 2009). The nomenclature and identification of lice species followed the taxonomic keys of Clay (1962), Keirans (1967), Price

*et al.* (2003) and Gustafsson & Olsson (2012). The material examined was deposited in the Collection of Parasitic and Related Invertebrate Helminths at the Natural History Museum of the Universidad Nacional Federico Villareal in Lima, Perú.

#### Analyses

Occurrences of lice and host species were tabulated and ecological indices for totals or individual louse species were calculated. These indices included percentage of prevalence (P%: percentage of parasitized hosts), mean intensity on parasitized hosts (MI: total number of parasites divided by the number of infected hosts), and mean abundance (MA: total number of parasites divided by the total number of shorebirds sampled; Bush *et al.* 1997, Bautista-Hernández *et al.* 2015). Percentage of prevalence and mean abundance were not calculated for Semipalmated Sandpipers because the number lacking parasites in 2012 and 2013 was unknown. Also, percentage of prevalence was not calculated for the Red Knot *Calidris canutus* and the Snowy Plover *Charadrius nivosus* because only one individual of each species was evaluated (Table 1).

**Table 2.** Chewing lice (Phthiraptera) occurrence and ecological prevalence indices for ectoparasite species collected from seven shorebird species in Paracas National Reserve, Ica, Perú. P% = percentage of prevalence (percentage of parasitized hosts), *n*HP = number of parasitized hosts, MI = mean intensity of parasitized hosts (total number of parasites divided by the number of infected hosts), MA = mean abundance of parasitized hosts (total number of parasites divided by the number of birds sampled), MCD = material code deposited in Collection of Parasitic and Related Invertebrate Helminths at the Natural History Museum of the Universidad Nacional Federico Villareal in Lima, Perú.

Chewing lice species	Host	Р%	nHP	МІ	MA	MCD				
MENOPONIDAE										
Actornithophilus pediculoides	Arenaria interpres	25	1	1	0.25	108				
	Calidris alba	36	16	1.4	0.5	101				
1 upprings	Calidris canutus	-	1	1	1	103				
A. umonnus	Calidris mauri	14	2	1	0.1	111				
	Calidris pusilla	32	12	1.2	0.4	105				
PHILOPTERIDAE										
Lunaceps actophilus	Calidris alba	64	28	3.3	2.1	102				
L. incoenis	Calidris mauri	21	3	1	0.2	112				
L. superciliosus	Calidris pusilla	11	4	1	0.11	104				
L. rothkoi	Calidris pusilla	5	2	1	0.05	106				
Lunaceps sp.	Calidris canutus	-	1	2	2	114				
Quadraceps fissus	Charadrius semipalmatus	25	1	1	0.25	110				
Q. (aff) strepsilaris	Arenaria interpres	40	2	1.5	0.6	109				
Quadraceps sp.	Charadrius nivosus	-	1	3	3	113				
Saemundssonia tringae	Calidris pusilla	3	1	1	0.03	107				



**Fig. 3.** Total number of parasites collected in 45 Sanderlings *Calidris alba* in relation to three time periods across the non-breeding season (n = 13, 19, and 13, respectively). Continuous line represents the median and the dotted line the mean. Boxes indicate the first and third quartiles and upper and lower whiskers indicate maximum and minimum values respectively.

In Sanderlings, for which we had moderate statistical power (n = 45), we tested for seasonal changes in prevalence and the number of parasites per individual, and for potential relationships with body condition. We analyzed prevalence with respect to date with a binary logistic regression, and report Wald  $\chi^2$  values for a date effect. A linear regression was performed to evaluate the relationship between the number of parasites per individual and date.

For body condition analyses, we first calculated an index of body size for all Sanderlings as PC1 from a principal component analysis of three structural morphometric measurements: flattened wing, culmen and total head length (Wunderle & Latta 2000, Schamber et al. 2009, Labocha & Hayes 2012). PC1 had concordant signs for all three variables, and thus can be interpreted as relative body size, and accounted for 71% of total variance. We then calculated a body condition index, accounting for structural size, as the residuals from the regression of body mass against PC1. Individuals with positive residuals from the regression, being heavier for their size, were considered to be in better body condition than those with negative residuals (Jakob et al. 1996, Schulte-Hostedde et al. 2001). There were large seasonal differences in mass between migratory arrival in September, the heart of non-breeding season in January, and the pre-migratory period in March (see *Results*). Since the effect of parasite number as a predictor of body condition might change with overall mass or time, we modeled these relationships separately by time period. For greater statistical power, we also ran an overall analysis of body condition index as a function of both number of parasites and time period as factors. Analyses were performed in InfoStat v. 2016 (Di Rienzo et al. 2016).

#### RESULTS

#### Chewing lice richness and occurrence

We sampled 108 individuals of seven shorebird species and collected 160 specimens of ectoparasites of the Menoponidae and Philopteridae families that were classified into four genera and nine species (Tables 1 & 2, Fig. 2). Twenty specimens of chewing lice collected from 38 Semipalmated Sandpipers were excluded from Table 1 because the number of birds lacking parasites was unknown. All shorebirds were adults, except for four juvenile Semipalmated Sandpipers. Thirty-six of 45 Sanderlings had at least one louse. The highest prevalences occurred in Ruddy Turnstone Arenaria interpres and Sanderling, with 80% of birds parasitized. The least parasitized species was Western Sandpiper Calidris mauri, with a prevalence of 36%. Sanderlings hosted the highest abundance of lice with both Menoponidae and Philopteridae representatives, while Semipalmated Sandpipers had the highest richness hosting Actornithophilus umbrinus, Lunaceps rothkoi, L. superciliosus and Saemundssonia tringae.

Chewing lice species and their respective hosts are shown in Table 2. The Philopteridae family showed higher prevalence (62%), richness, and number of host species (seven parasitic species being hosted by seven shorebird species) than the Menoponidae (prevalence of 49% and two parasitic species in five host species). The Menoponidae louse *A. umbrinus*, found in all four *Calidris* species, had the highest number of hosts, while *A. pediculoides* and all the Philopteridae species were only found on a single host. *L. actophilus*, found on 64% of the Sanderlings, was the chewing louse with the highest prevalence, mean



**Fig. 4.** Body condition index in relation to total parasites in Sanderlings *Calidris alba* (n = 45) during three time periods across the non-breeding season: arrival in September (grey squares), non-breeding in January (open circles) and premigratory period in March (black triangles).

intensity, and mean abundance of infection (Table 2).

#### Seasonal parasite loads and body condition in Sanderlings

Forty-five Sanderlings were sampled across September, January and March, of which 36 were parasitized with at least one ectoparasite. We found no evidence of a significant difference in prevalence with respect to date (Wald  $\chi^2 = 0.24$ , P = 0.62), nor in the number of parasites per bird ( $F_{1,43} = 2.45$ , P = 0.12). However, total parasite number per individual does suggest a decrease through the non-breeding season (Fig. 3) from mean values of  $3.4 \pm 2.9$  SD (n = 13) in September to  $2.8 \pm 2.3$  SD (n = 19) in January and  $1.8 \pm 2.0$  SD in March (n = 13).

Body condition increased over the non-breeding season (Fig. 4). Birds arrived thin for their size, but by January they had increased in mass relative to body size, and those preparing to migrate in March had the highest masses relative to their body size (body condition index  $-12.4 \pm 5.0$  g (mean  $\pm$  SD) in September, 2.5  $\pm$  3.1 in January and 8.9  $\pm$  7.1 in March,  $F_{1,43}$  = 124.69, P <0.0001). We found no significant relationship between body condition and total parasites within each time period (September:  $F_{1,11} = 0.17$ , P = 0.69; January:  $F_{1,17} =$ 0.42, P = 0.52; March:  $F_{1,11} = 0.07$ , P = 0.79). The pooled analysis including time period as a factor also failed to detect an effect of parasite number as a predictor of body condition (full model with interaction term: time period\*total parasites term:  $F_{1,41} = 0.06$ , P = 0.81; for model excluding the nonsignificant interaction term: parasite number:  $F_{1,42} = 0.73$ , P = 0.40).

#### DISCUSSION

#### Chewing lice richness and occurrence

All chewing lice species reported in this study are new geographical records for shorebirds in Perú. Our results suggest that the least specific ectoparasite was *Actornithophilus umbrinus*, which was found on all the *Calidris* species we checked. This resembles a similar survey from Ecuador (Haase & Alava 2014), where *A. umbrinus* was found in Western Sandpipers, Least Sandpipers *Calidris minutilla*, Stilt Sandpipers *Calidris himantopus*, Semipalmated Plovers, and Wilson's Phalaropes *Phalaropus tricolor*.

In contrast, we found that Lunaceps species were specific to a single Calidris species. Dik et al. (2011) stated that the level of specificity of Lunaceps ectoparasites was higher than in other groups. *Lunaceps actophilus*, which in our work occurred only in Sanderlings, was the species with the highest prevalence, mean abundance and mean intensity of infection. Due to these characteristics, we could consider L. actophilus as a 'core' species for Sanderling ectoparasitic structure, as defined by Bush & Holmes (1986a, b), who consider parasitic species with prevalence above 40% as core species that interact frequently enough with the host to reach a balance. L. actophilus was described previously as Nirmus actophilus with Sanderlings as a host, with most reports in this shorebird species, but has also been recently reported in Dunlins Calidris alpina (Gustafsson & Olsson 2012). We also registered a louse from the genus Saemundssonia which is known to be common in shorebirds in general (Price et al. 2003), but in this study we recorded only three individuals of S. tringae on a single Semipalmated Sandpiper. On the latter

host, we also recorded *L. superciliosus*; this louse was described from Sharp-tailed Sandpipers *Calidris acuminata* and Long-toed Stints *Calidris subminuta*, being morphologically separated from other species by the presence of a small suture near the post-nodular setae (Gustafsson & Olsson 2012).

The mean intensities of ectoparasites on shorebirds at Paracas are roughly comparable to those reported for White-rumped Sandpipers Calidris fuscicollis wintering in southern Brazil (Gomes et al. 2015). However, they are lower than those reported for many shorebird species in Turkey (Dik et al. 2011), and far lower than ectoparasite loads reported for Red Knots in northern Brazil (D'Amico et al. 2008), where many birds harbored hundreds of lice, although Red Knots are much larger than most of the species in the other studies. For the only Red Knot sample that we had, it was not possible to identify the species of Lunaceps due to the poor state of the parasite collected and the consequent difficulty of its morphological identification. Different species of the Lunaceps genus differ primarily only in size, intensity of color, and with minimal distinctions in the male genitalia and in the prenatal area (Gustafsson & Olsson 2012, Gomes et al. 2014).

### Seasonal parasite loads and body condition in Sanderlings

Total ectoparasite numbers seemed to show a slight decrease across the non-breeding season (Fig. 3), although, we found no evidence of a significant trend given the variance and our limited sample size. If real, this pattern could indicate that individuals are losing ectoparasites during their stay at Paracas. This would be consistent with Piersma's (1997) hypothesis that high arctic and alpine-nesting species, with lower immunocompetence levels, will utilize lower-parasite marine habitats rather than more productive, but more parasite-infested boreal, temperate and tropical freshwater habitats. Sanderlings are high arctic nesters, which use all migratory flyways in the Western Hemisphere (Myers et al. 1990). They may arrive at Paracas relatively parasite-infested from breeding and migration stopover sites, get somewhat de-parasitized during the non-breeding season, and then prepare to migrate north in a less-infested state.

A reduction of ectoparasite number could also indicate that more parasitized birds are leaving the population by emigration or death. However, our failure to document a relationship between parasitism load and body condition within time periods does not provide support for differential fitness or emigration as likely mechanisms. A third explanation could reflect seasonality in the presence of adult versus egg and larval life stages, as was found for a passerine in Spain (Carrillo *et al.* 2007). Since the life cycles of ectoparasites in the region of our study are not well-documented, we cannot assess the likelihood of the quite plausible seasonal life-stage mechanism. Finally, body molt could also be a potential reason for reducing load of ectoparasites, especially in cases where the molt begins at arrival to the non-breeding areas. However, Sanderlings arrive at Paracas almost entirely molted into their non-breeding plumage (E. Tavera unpubl. data), and thus it could not substantially affect ectoparasite load.

Despite the examples of negative effects of ectoparasites cited in the introduction, we did not find that Sanderlings incur substantial direct physical or physiological effects from the number of chewing lice at Paracas during our study period. However, detailed immunological studies and/or long-term studies would help assess the effects of chewing lice on fitness.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our special thanks go to the CORBIDI shorebird banding crew: E. Ortiz, Y. Tenorio, R. Huayanca, T. Poma and M. Antezana, and our volunteers from Ica: C. Siguas, A. Antezana, V. Fernandez and A. Quispe for their invaluable effort during capture and sampling processes. Also special thanks to J. Mendoza who collaborated in all the field campaigns with DM on parasite collection. Many thanks to T. Valqui and CORBIDI for the many years of institutional support for this long-term research project. We are grateful to the staff of Paracas National Reserve, especially to P. Saravia for assistance in obtaining the permits and for all the support and cooperation. Thanks to P. Gonzalez for giving us valuable guidance and many ideas for this study, and to the Centre for Wildlife Ecology (CWE) at Simon Fraser University. This study was carried out under the permit of the Peruvian National Service of Protected Natural Areas (SERNANP). Funding was provided by a Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Act grant administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Capture and sampling methods followed guidelines recommended by the Canadian Council on Animal Care as approved by CCAC committee of the Simon Fraser University (Animal Care's permit number: 1043B-03).

#### REFERENCES

- Alfaro, M., B.K. Sandercock, L. Liguori & M. Arim. 2018. Body condition and feather molt of a migratory shorebird during the non-breeding season. *Journal of Avian Biology* 49: 1–8.
- Ashford, R.W. 1971. Blood parasites and migratory fat at Lake Chad. *Ibis* 113: 100–101.
- Bautista-Hernández, C.E., S. Monks, G. Pulido-Flores & A.E. Rodríguez-Ibarra. 2015. Bibliographic review of some ecological terms used in parasitology, and its application in case studies. *Estudios en Biodiversidad* 1: 11–19. [In Spanish]
- Binning, S.A., A.K. Shaw & D.G. Roche. 2017. Parasites and host performance: incorporating infection into our understanding of animal movement. *Integrative & Comparative Biology* 57: 267–280.
- Bonte, D., H. Van Dyck, J.M. Bullock, A. Coulon, M. Delgado, ... & J.M.J. Travis. 2012. Costs of dispersal. *Biological Reviews* 87: 290–312.
- **Booth, D.T., D.H. Clayton & B.A. Block.** 1993. Experimental demonstration of the costs of parasitism in free-ranging hosts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 253: 125–129.

- Brown, M.E. 1996. Assessing body condition in birds. *Current Ornithology* 13: 67–135.
- Bush, A.O. & C. Holmes. 1986a. Intestinal helminths of lesser scaup ducks: patterns of association. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 64: 132–141.
- Bush, A.O. & C. Holmes. 1986b. Intestinal helminths of lesser scaup ducks: an interactive community. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 64: 142–152.
- Bush, A.O., K.D. Lafferty, J.M. Lotz & A.W. Shostak. 1997. Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis *et al.* revisited. *Journal of Parasitology* 8: 575–583.
- **Carrillo, C.M., F. Valera, A. Barbosa & E. Moreno.** 2007. Thriving in an arid environment: high prevalence of avian lice in low humidity conditions. *Ecoscience* 14: 241–249.
- Choi, C.Y., X.J. Gan, Q. Ma, K.J. Zhang, J.K. Chen & Z.J. Ma. 2009. Body condition and fuel deposition patterns of calidrid sandpipers during migratory stopover. *Ardea* 97: 61–70.
- **Clay, T.** 1962. A key to the species of *Actornithophilus* Ferris with notes and descriptions of new species. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Entomology series* 11: 189–244.
- Clayton, D.H. & B.A. Walther. 2001. Influence of host ecology and morphology on the diversity of Neotropical bird lice. *Oikos* 94: 455–467.
- Clayton, D.H., P.L.M. Lee, D.M. Tompkins & E.D. Brodie. 1999. Reciprocal natural selection on host-parasite phenotypes. *American Naturalist* 154: 261–270.
- Clayton, D.H., R.J. Adams & S.E. Bush. 2008. Phthiraptera, the Chewing lice. Pp. 515–526 in: *Parasitic diseases of wild birds* (C.T. Atkinson, N.J. Thomas & D.B. Hunter, Eds.). Wiley-Blackwell, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- D'Amico, V.L., M.N. Bertellotti, A.J. Baker, W.R. Tellino, Jr. & P.M. Gonzalez. 2008. Migration strategies of wintering populations of red knots *Calidris canutus rufa* in South America: the role of parasite pressure. *Ardeola* 55: 193–202.
- Di Rienzo, J.A., F. Casanoves, M.G. Balzarini, L. Gonzalez, M. Tablada & C.W. Robledo. 2016. *InfoStat*, versión 2016. Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina.
- Dik, B., M.A. Kirpik, Ç. Şekercioğlu & Y. Şaşmaz. 2011. Chewing Lice (Phthiraptera) found on songbirds (Passeriformes) in Turkey. *Turkiye Parazitoloji Dergisi* 35: 34–39.
- **Dinsmore, S.J. & J.A. Collazo.** 2003. The influence of body condition on local apparent survival of spring migrant sanderlings in coastal North Carolina. *Condor* 105: 465–473.
- Duijns, S., L.J. Niles, A. Dey, Y. Aubry, C. Friis, S. Koch, A.M. Anderson & P.A. Smith. 2017. Body condition explains migratory performance of a long-distance migrant. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 284: 20171374.
- Galván, I., E. Aguilera, F. Atienzar, E. Barba, G. Blanco, ... & R. Jovani. 2012. Feather mites (Acari: Astigmata) and body condition of their avian hosts: a large correlative study. *Journal of Avian Biology* 43: 273–279.
- **Gomes S.N., T.C. Pesenti, M.P. Cirne & G. Müller.** 2014. Chewing lice (Phthiraptera) from *Calidris fuscicollis* (Aves: Scolopacidae) in Southern Brazil. *Acta Tropica* 136: 101–103.

- **Gomes, S.N., T.C. Pesenti, M.P. Cirne & G. Müller.** 2015. Feather mites of *Calidris fuscicollis* (Aves: Scolopacidae) in Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology* 75: 1027–1029.
- Gomez-Puerta, L.A. & N.G. Cribillero. 2015. Contribution to the knowledge of the Mallophaga (Phthiraptera, Amblycera, Ischnocera) of the birds of Peru. Part 1. *Revista Peruana de Biología* 22: 341–346. [In Spanish]
- Gomez-Puerta, L.A. & C. Luján-Vega. 2018. Contribution to the knowledge of the Mallophaga (Phthiraptera, Amblycera, Ischnocera) of the birds of Peru. Part 2. *Revista Peruana de Biología* 25: 35–42. [In Spanish]
- González-Acuña, D., J. Lara & A. Cicchino. 2009. New record of lice (Insecta: Phthiraptera) in domestic and ornamental birds from Chile. *Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria* 41: 181–184. [In Spanish]
- **Gustafsson, D.R. & U. Olsson.** 2012. The "Very Thankless Task": Revision of *Lunaceps* Clay and Meinertzhagen, 1939 (Insecta: Phthiraptera: Ischnocera: Philopteridae), with descriptions of six new species and one new subspecies. *Zootaxa* 3377: 1–85.
- Haase, B. & J.J. Alava. 2014. First records of chewing lice (Phthiraptera: Menoponidae) in Pacific migratory shorebirds wintering in Ecuador. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária* 23: 260–263.
- Hicks, O., S.J. Burthe, F. Daunt, M. Newell, A. Butler, M. Ito, K. Sato & J.A. Green. 2018. The energetic cost of parasitism in a wild population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 285: 20180489.
- Hunter, J.E. & M.A. Colwell. 1994. Phthiraptera infestation of five shorebird species. *Wilson Bulletin* 106: 400–403.
- Jakob, E.M., S.D. Marshall & G.W. Uetz. 1996. Estimating fitness: a comparison of body condition indices. *Oikos* 77: 61–67.
- Keirans, J.E. 1967. The Mallophaga of New England birds. Station Bulletin 492. New Hampshire Agricultural Experiment Station, University of New Hampshire. Accessed 10 Aug 2018 at: https://www.library.unh.edu/find/digital/ object/agbulletin:0454
- Labocha, M.K. & J.P. Hayes. 2012. Morphometric indices of body condition in birds: a review. *Journal of Ornithology* 153: 1–22.
- Lamothe, R.A. 1997. *Manual of techniques to prepare, examine and study parasites of wild animals*. AGT Editor, Ciudad de México, México. [In Spanish]
- Lehnen, S.E. & D.G. Krementz. 2007. The influence of body condition on the stopover ecology of Least Sandpipers in the Lower Mississippi Alluvial Valley during fall migration. *Avian Conservation & Ecology* 2: 9.
- Liébana, M.S., M.Á. Santillán, A.C. Cicchino, J.H. Sarasola, P. Martínez, S. Cabezas & M.S. Bó. 2011. Ectoparasites in free-ranging American Kestrels in Argentina: implications for the transmission of viral diseases. *Journal of Raptor Research* 45: 335–341.
- McCoy, K.D., T. Boulinier & C. Tirard. 2005. Comparative host-parasite population structures: disentangling prospecting and dispersal in the black-legged kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla*. *Molecular Ecology* 14: 2825–2838.
- McElroy, E.J. & I. de Buron. 2014. Host performance as a target of manipulation by parasites: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Parasitology* 100: 399–410.

- Møller, A.P., E. Arriero, E. Lobato & S. Merino. 2009. A meta-analysis of parasite virulence in nestling birds. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 84: 567–588.
- **Moreno-Rueda, G. & H. Hoi.** 2012. Female house sparrows prefer big males with a large white wing bar and fewer feather holes caused by chewing lice. *Behavioral Ecology* 23: 271–277.
- Myers, J.P., M. Sallaberry, E. Ortiz, G. Castro, L.M. Gordon, J.L. Maron, C.T. Schick, E. Tabilo, P. Antas & T. Below. 1990. Migration routes of New World Sanderlings (*Calidris alba*). Auk 107: 172–180.
- Palma, P.R. 1978. Slide-mounting of lice: a detailed description of the Canada Balsam technique. *New Zealand Entomologist* 6: 432–436.
- Palma, R.L. 1999. Amendments and additions to the 1982 list of chewing lice (Insecta: Phthiraptera) from birds in New Zealand. *Notornis* 46: 373–387.
- Palma, R.L. & J.K. Jensen. 2005. Lice (Insecta: Phthiraptera) and their host associations in the Faroe Islands. *Steen-strupia* 29: 49–73.
- Pellissier, P. 2013. Identification of key food items of Semipalmated Sandpiper (*Calidris pusilla*) in La Aguada Bay, Paracas National Reserve, Perú. In: *Proceedings of the Western Hemisphere Shorebird Research Group Meeting* (C. Ruiz-Guerra & R. Johnston-Gonzalez, Eds.). Western Hemisphere Shorebird Group, Santa Marta, Colombia.
- Philips, J.R. 1990. What's bugging your birds? Avian parasitic arthropods. Wild Rehabilitation 8: 155–203.
- Piersma, T. 1997. Do global patterns of habitat use and migration strategies co-evolve with relative investments

in immunocompetence due to spatial variation in parasite pressure? *Oikos* 80: 623–631.

- Price, R.D., R.A. Hellenthal, R.L. Palma, K.P. Johnson & D.H. Clayton. (Eds.) 2003. *The chewing lice: world checklist and biological overview*. Illinois Natural History Survey, Illinois, USA.
- Proctor, H. & I. Owens. 2000. Mites and birds: diversity, parasitism and coevolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 15: 358–364.
- Schamber, J.L., D. Esler & P.L. Flint. 2009. Evaluating the validity of using unverified indices of body condition. *Journal of Avian Biology* 40: 49–56.
- Schulenberg, T.S., D.F. Stotz, D.F. Lane, J.P. O'Neil & T.A. Parker III. 2010. *Birds of Perú*. CORBIDI, Lima, Perú.
- Schulte-Hostedde, A.I., J.S. Millar & G.J. Hickling. 2001. Evaluating body condition in small mammals. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 79: 1021–1029.
- Senner, N. & F. Angulo Pratolongo. 2014. Atlas de las Aves Playeras del Perú: Sitios Importantes para su Conservación. CORBIDI, Lima, Peru.
- Tulp, I., H. Schekkerman, R.H.G. Klaassen, B.J. Ens & G.H. Visser. 2009. Body condition of shorebirds upon arrival at their Siberian breeding grounds. *Polar Biology* 32: 481–491.
- Whiteman, N.K. & P.G. Parker. 2004. Body condition and parasite load predict territory ownership in the Galápagos Hawk. *Condor* 106: 915–921.
- Wunderle, J.M. & S.C. Latta. 2000. Winter site fidelity of Nearctic migrants in shade coffee plantations of different sizes of the Dominican Republic. *Auk* 117: 596–614.